

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

| | APPLICATION NO. | Fi | LING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|--|---|------|---------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| | 10/075,669 | . 0 |)2/13/2002 | Kevin E. Boyle | TRW(RG)5832 | 2678 |
| | 26294 | 7590 | 10/20/2004 | | EXAMINER | |
| | • | | EIM, COVELL & | YEAGLEY, DANIEL S | | |
| | 526 SUPERIOR AVENUE, SUITE 1111 CLEVEVLAND, OH 44114 | | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | | 3611 | | |

DATE MAILED: 10/20/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | |
|---|---|--|--|--|--|
| Advisory Action | 10/075,669 | BOYLE ET AL. | <i>G</i> 8 | | |
| • | Examiner | Art Unit | | | |
| | Daniel Yeagley | 3611 | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe | ears on the cover sheet with the c | orrespondence add | ress | | |
| THE REPLY FILED 05 October 2004 FAILS TO PLACE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appe Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. | evoid abandonment of this application (1) a timely filed amendment whi | cation. A proper re ch places the appli | ply to a cation in | | |
| PERIOD FOR RE | EPLY [check either a) or b)] | | | | |
| a) The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date of the final rejection. | | | | | |
| b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advevent, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The dahave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exten 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three meanned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THINGS OF THINGS OF THE ON WHICH THE PARTIES OF THE ON WHICH THE PROPERTY OF THE ONE OF | f the final rejection. E FINAL REJECTION. 136(a) and the appropriate ex fee. The appropriate ex the final Office action; or | See MPEP e extension fee tension fee under (2) as set forth in | | |
| 1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF | | | | | |
| 2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered by | ecause: | | | | |
| (a) \(\square\) they raise new issues that would require furth | er consideration and/or search (| see NOTE below); | | | |
| (b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note | below); | | | | |
| (c) they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or | in better form for appeal by mat | erially reducing or | simplifying the | | |
| (d) they present additional claims without cance | ling a corresponding number of | finally rejected clair | ms. | | |
| NOTE: | | | | | |
| 3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection | ction(s): 112 rejection of claim 5 | <u>3</u> . | | | |
| 4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s). | be allowable if submitted in a s | eparate, timely file | d amendment | | |
| 5.⊠ The a)□ affidavit, b)□ exhibit, or c)⊠ request for application in condition for allowance because: Set | | sidered but does NO | OT place the | | |
| 6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection. | cause it is not directed SOLELY | to issues which we | ere newly | | |
| 7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendmen explanation of how the new or amended claims w | | | and an | | |
| The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: | | | | | |
| Claim(s) allowed: | | | | | |
| Claim(s) objected to: | | | | | |
| Claim(s) rejected: <u>31-36 and 39-44</u> . | | | | | |
| Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 37 and 38 | | | | | |
| 8. \boxtimes The drawing correction filed on <u>05 October 2004</u> is | s a)⊠ approved or b)□ disap | proved by the Exa | miner. | | |
| 9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Stateme | ent(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s). | <u>10/5/04</u> . | | | |

LESLEY D. MORRIS

FERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
FECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600

Losly DM onin

10. Other: ___

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: the prior art cited is still deemed readable of the claims as claimed.